XI^{*}. PHOTOCHEMICAL REACTIONS OF ALIPHATIC ALDEHYDES WITH METHYL TRIFLUOROACRYLATE

V.P. SHENDRIK

Odessa Polytechnical Institute, Odessa (U.S.S.R.)

J. SVOBODA, O. PALETA and V. DEDEK

Institute of Chemical Technology, 16628 Prague 6 (Czechoslovakia)

SUMMARY

Photochemically initiated reactions of aliphatic aldehydes, namely acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde and butyraldehyde, with methyl trifluoroacrylate afforded y-ketoesters of the type R-CO-CF₂CHF-COOCH₃ in the liquid phase. In the gas phase reaction further products were formed by addition of the aldehyde fragments to the olefinic bond of the methyl trifluoroacrylate.

INTRODUCTION

Fluoroolefins are known to be reactive components in radical reactions [1-3]. The olefinic bond in methyl trifluoroacrylate exhibits some characteristic chemical properties of fluoroolefins, as we demonstrated in the nucleophilic addition of alcohols [4] and amines [5], and in the photochemical addition of ethers $[6]$. Following these experiments one would suppose the reaction of aldehydes with methyl trifluoroacrylate induced photochemically to be successful.

Part X: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., in press.

Radical reactions of aldehydes with fluoroolefins have previously been performed both in the liquid phase $[7-13]$ and in the gas phase $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 10 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$ using peroxides $\begin{bmatrix} 7-10 \\ 10 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, ultraviolet light $[9, 14]$, and γ -irradiation $[7, 11$ -13, 15] as initiators. In some photochemically induced reactions both oxetanes and linear adducts were formed $\begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$, but sometimes oxetanes were the only products [9].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we describe the reaction of methyl trifluoroacrylate initiated by ultraviolet light with acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde and butyraldehyde. The reaction with the above aldehydes was carried out in the liquid phase, and with propionaldehyde and butyraldehyde in the gas phase also. In the liquid phase we obtained y-ketoesters (I-III) in yields of 49-73s together with high boiling point telomer products. The reactions were carried out with a large excess of aldehyde (Table 1) to lower the relative amounts of the telomers obtained.

 $R-C-H + F_2C=CF-COOCH_2$ $\longrightarrow R-C-CF_2CHF-COOCH$ 0 liquid phase ⁰ I-III $I, R = CH₃$

II,
$$
R = CH_2CH_3
$$

III, $R = CH_2CH_2CH_3$ Scheme 1

From the structure of the products (I-III) it is obvious that in the course of the reaction fission of the C-H bond on the carbonyl atom of the aldehyde took place, as well as addition of both fragments to the olefinic bond of the methyl trifluoroacrylate (scheme 1). In contrast to former observations $[9, 14]$ we did not detect oxetanes as products of 1,2-cycloaddition in the reaction mixtures.

Acetaldehyde afforded 2,3,3-trifluoro-4-oxopentanoate (I), propionaldehyde gave methyl 2,3,3-trifluoro-4-oxohexanoate (II),

and butyraldehyde yielded, by the above reaction, methyl 2,3,3 trifluoro-4-oxoheptanoate (III). These main reactions were accompanied by the formation of non-fluorinated by-products as the result of aldehyde reactions, i.e. a trimerization byproduct (IV), or aldol condensation by-products (V and VI) catalyzed probably by hydrogen fluoride arising in the reaction mixture.

A different course was observed in the reactions of propionaldehyde and butyraldehyde in the gas phase. Butyraldehyde afforded the known product (IfI) in addition to further products (VII-IX), while propionaldehyde yielded compound (IX) as the principal product (Table 1). We did not find a compound with the oxetane ring among the products although the gas phase reaction is usually suitable for a cycloaddition $\lceil 14 \rceil$.

$$
F_2C=CF-COOCH_3
$$
\n
$$
F_2C=CF-COOCH_3
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{gas phase}}
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{gas phase}}
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{gas phase}}
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} CH_3 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} CH_3 CH_2 CH + C_2 CH + C_2 CH + C_3
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_2 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n
$$
F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{cm}} T
$$
\n<math display="block</math>

The formation of compounds (VII-IX) may be explained by the addition of aldehyde fragments to methyl trifluoroacrylate. As given in the literature [17], the cleavage of C-C bonds in aldehydes by the action of UV light follows the Norrish type I and II mechanisms and the resulting radicals may undergo further transformations, such as isomerization, fragmentation, and recombination. From the structures of compounds (VII-IX) it emerges that, in the gas phase, the decarbonylation of the acyl radical is an important reaction. Fragmentations take place usually in a vibrationally excited ground state and they are effectively quenched in the liquid phase as a consequence of fast vibrational equilibration $\lceil 17 \rceil$. For that reason the reaction in the liquid phase takes a simple course and can be employed to prepare y-ketoesters.

To obtain more detailed information about the relative reaction rates, we measured the decrease of methyl trifluoroacrylate concentration during the gas and liquid phase reactions with butyraldehyde (Fig. 1). The concentration dependences in Fig. 1 show that the gas phase reaction is faster in excess of butyraldehyde than in excess of methyl trifluoroacrylate (curve A), but for aldehyde excesses 2:1 (curve B) and 5:1 (curve C) the rates are almost the same. The liquid phase reaction place much faster in excess of butyraldehyde (curve D)..

Fig. 1. Decrease of methyl trifluoroacrylate concentration with time during its photoinitiated reaction with butyraldehyde. Trifluoroacrylate butyraldehyde ratio: curve A, 3:l (gas phase reaction); curve B, 1:2 (gas phase reaction); curve C, 1:5 (gas phase reaction); curve D, 1:10 (liquid phase reaction).

Apparatus

Gas chromatography

Chromatographic analyses were performed on a Chrom 3 (Laboratorni p%istroje, Prague) instrument (FID, analytical column diameter 0.6 cm; preparative column diameter 1 cm, length 500 cm; carrier gas nitrogen, support Chromaton N-AW-DMCS, Lachema, Brno).

NMR spectroscopy

The NMR spectra were taken in deuteriochloroform on a Varian XL-loo/15 instrument.

IR spectroscopy

The IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 325 (Bodenseewerk) instrument.

Mass spectra

The mass spectra were measured on a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer LKB 9000 (Stockholm); single focus, 70 eV, chromatographic inlet: poly(ethylene glycol adipate) on Chromaton N-AW, 250 cm x 0.23 cm glass column, carrier gas helium

Chemicals used

Methyl trifluoroacrylate was prepared according to our own method [18-20] starting from Ledon 11 (trichlorofluoromethane) and 1,2-dichlorodifluoroethylene.

Photochemically induced reaction of aldehydes with methyl trifluoroacrylate

Reaction mixtures were irradiated at $12-15^{\circ}$ C with a high pressure UV lamp (Tesla, 125 W) placed in a water-cooled quartz

double-jacket. During the reaction of acetaldehyde the reaction vessel was fitted with a dry-ice-cooled condenser.

(a) In the liquid phase

A mixture of aldehyde and methyl trifluoroacrylate was irradiated in a slow stream of nitrogen. After reaction, the mixture was washed with water and dried with anhydrous magnesium sulphate. The products were isolated by distillation. Reaction conditions and results are shown. in Table 1. Boiling point and elemental analyses of products (I-III) are given in Table 2, and their NMR and IR spectra are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Gas chromatography: 15% Carbowax 6000, column length 240 cm, $120-180^{\circ}$ C.

(b) In the gas phase

A mixture of reactants with different molar ratios (Table 1) was irradiated in the reaction flask (6000 ml) for 3 hours at 90 $^{\circ}$ C (propionaldehyde), or at 100 $^{\circ}$ C (butyraldehyde). At the bottom of the flask was a layer of glass rings. After the reaction of butyraldehyde (9.70 g, 0.134 mol), the reaction

TABLE 1

CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF THE REACTION OF ALDEHYDES WITH METHYL TRIFLUOROACRYLATE

AA, acetaldehyde; PA, propionaldehyde; BA, butyraldehyde. ^a Gas phase reaction.

vessel was cooled to 0° C, the reaction products were mixed with diethyl ether (200 ml), and the ether solution was washed with aqueous potassium bicarbonate, then with water, and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate. During distillation, a fraction $65-85^{\circ}$ C/1.33 kPa was collected (2.31 q) containing a mixture of compounds (III, VI-IX); the distillation residue $(2.13 q)$ contained mostly telomerization products (gas chromatography: 15% poly(l,4-butanediol)succinate, column length 240 cm). Products (III, VII-IX) were separated by preparative gas chromatography on the same stationary phase. For elemental analyses and spectral data see Tables 2-5.

(cl Rate of the butyraldehyde reaction

The reaction was performed at 100° C in a 1000 ml glass vessel with inner UV lamp. The composition of the reaction mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography using the inner standard method (benzene). The course of the runs is shown in Fig. 1 (gas chromatography: 15% Carbowax 6000, column length 360 cm).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The spectral measurements were carried out in the Department of NMR spectroscopy (Dr. P. Trska, Head), in the Department of infrared spectroscopy (Dr. A. Muck, Head), and in the Department of mass spectroscopy (Dr. V. Kubelka, Head); the elemental analyses were performed in the Department of Organic Analysis (Dr. L. Helesic, Head), Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague. We thank them all for their cooperation.

*All new compounds. *All new compounds.

NMR SPECTRA OF THE COMPOUNDS (I-111, VII, Ix) NMR SPECTRA OF THE COMPOUNDS (I-III, VII, IX)

TABLE 3

A 'H NMR spectrum, B "F NMR spectrum (internal standard (CFC13). a A a I NMR spectrum, B a^{19} F NMR spectrum (internal standard (CFCl₃).

Chemical shifts ppm (number of protons or fluorine atoms); s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, b Chemical shifts ppm (number of protons or fluorine atoms); s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, q quartet, m multiplet; coupling constants J in Hz. q quartet, m multiplet; coupling constants J in Hz.

Noise decoupling of protons. C Noise decoupling of protons.

 $\ddot{\cdot}$

ĵ

建立 医子宫 医子宫中枢

医心室 医生物

TABLE 4 TABLE 4

ABSORPTION MAXIMA (cm -1) IN THE INFRARED SPECTRA OF THE COMPOUNDS (I-III and IX) ABSORPTION MAXIMA (cm⁻¹) IN THE INFRARED SPECTRA OF THE COMPOUNDS (I-III and IX) IN TETRACHLOROMETHANE AND CARBON DISULFIDE IN TETRACHLOROMETHANE AND CARBON DISULFIDE

IX 1760 s, 1773 s v(C=O); 845 m,1113 s, 1145 m, 1210 m, 1230 s, 1285 m, 1760 s, 1773 s $\sqrt{(c=0)}$; 845 m, 1113 s, 1145 m, 1210 m, 1230 s, 1285 m, 1320 m, 1358 m, 1370 m, 1440 1470 m, m, 2960 w, 2990 w \geq 1320 m, 1358 m, 1370 m, 1440 m, 1470 m, 2960 w, 2990 $\overline{1}X$

TABLE 5

MASS SPECTRA OF THE COMPOUNDS (VII-IX) (MASS/RELATIVE INTENSITY, IONIC SPECIES)

a Assumed structures.

REFERENCES

- 1 R.E. Banks and M.G. Barlow, Fluorocarbon and Related Chemistry, vol. 1, The Chemical Society, London, 1971, p. 24.
- 2 R.E. Banks and M.G. Barlow, Fluorocarbon and Related Chemistry, Vol. 2, The Chemical Society, London, 1974, p. 72.
- 3 0. Paleta, Usp. Khim., 40 (1971) 855.
- 4 0. Paleta and J. Koharek, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 38 (1973) 66.
- 5 0. Paleta, J. Okrouhlik and V. Kubelka, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 39 (1974) 1336.
- 6 V.P. Shendrik, P. Paleta and V. D&dek, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 39 (1974) 1061.
- 7 H. Muramatsu, S. Moriguchi and K. Inukai, J. Org. Chem., 31 (1966) 1306.
- 8 J.D. La Zerte and R.J. Koshar, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77 (1955) 910.
- 9 J.F. Harris and D.D. Coffman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 84 (1962) 1553.
- 10 H. Muramatsu and K. Inukai, J. Org. Chem., 27 (1962) 1572.
- 11 K. Hirota, S. Jizuka, H. Ochi and M. Hatada, Bull. Chem. Sot. Japan, 36 (1963) 115.
- 12 M. Hatada, J. Takzaki and K. Hirota, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 37 (1964) 166.
- 13 H. Murarnatsu and K. Inukai, Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi, 65 (1962) 1992; Chem. Abstr., 59 (1963) 2637.
- 14 E.R. Bissel and D.B. Fields, J. Org. Chem., 29 (1964) 249.
- 15 F. Liška, V. Dědek and B. Chutný, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 33 (1968) 1299.
- 16 M.S. Kharash, W.H. Urry and B.M. Kuderna, J. Org. Chem., 14 (1949,) 248.
- 17 J.G. Calvert and J.N. Pitts, Photochemistry, Wiley, 1966, PP. 368 and 723.
- 18 O. Paleta, A. Pošta and Z. Novotná, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 33 (1968) 2970.
- 19 O. Paleta, A. Pošta and J. Koňárek, Czech. 144,470 (1969).
- 20 0. Paleta, A. Posta and F. Ligka, Sb. Vys. Sk. Chem. Technol. Praha, in press.